

Slide 0

Bicomplex Hilbert Space

Dominic Rochon^a

(Joint work with Sébastien Tremblay)

UNIV. DU QUÉBEC À TROIS-RIVIÈRES

June, 2006

^aResearch supported by CRSNG (Canada).

Slide 1

Outline

- Bicomplex Numbers
- \mathbb{T} -Module
- Bicomplex scalar product
 - Complementary Projections
- Bicomplex Hilbert space
 - Example of bicomplex Hilbert space
- The Dirac notation over M
- Bicomplex linear operators
- Bicomplex self-adjoint operators

Bicomplex Numbers

We define **bicomplex numbers** as follows:

$$\mathbb{T} := \{a + b\mathbf{i}_1 + c\mathbf{i}_2 + d\mathbf{j} : \mathbf{i}_1^2 = \mathbf{i}_2^2 = -1, \mathbf{j}^2 = 1\}.$$

Slide 2

Where

$$\mathbf{i}_2\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}\mathbf{i}_2 = -\mathbf{i}_1$$

$$\mathbf{i}_1\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}\mathbf{i}_1 = -\mathbf{i}_2$$

$$\mathbf{i}_2\mathbf{i}_1 = \mathbf{i}_1\mathbf{i}_2 = \mathbf{j}$$

and $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$.

Bicomplex Numbers

We remark that we can write a bicomplex number

$$a + b\mathbf{i}_1 + c\mathbf{i}_2 + d\mathbf{j}$$

as

$$(a + b\mathbf{i}_1) + (c + d\mathbf{i}_1)\mathbf{i}_2 = z_1 + z_2\mathbf{i}_2$$

where

$$z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1) := \{x + y\mathbf{i}_1 : \mathbf{i}_1^2 = -1\}.$$

Slide 3

Slide 4

Bicomplex Numbers

It is also important to know that every bicomplex number $z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_2$ has the following unique idempotent representation:

$$z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_2 = (z_1 - z_2 \mathbf{i}_1) \mathbf{e}_1 + (z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_1) \mathbf{e}_2$$

where $\mathbf{e}_1 = \frac{1+\mathbf{j}}{2}$ and $\mathbf{e}_2 = \frac{1-\mathbf{j}}{2}$. This representation is very useful because: addition, multiplication and division can be done term-by-term.

Slide 5

Bicomplex Numbers

Complex conjugation plays an important role both for algebraic and geometric properties of \mathbb{C} , as well as in the standard quantum mechanics. For bicomplex numbers, there are three possible conjugations. Let $w \in \mathbb{T}$ and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1)$ such that $w = z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_2$. Then we define the three conjugations as:

- (1) $w^{\dagger_1} = (z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_2)^{\dagger_1} := \bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2 \mathbf{i}_2;$
- (2) $w^{\dagger_2} = (z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_2)^{\dagger_2} := z_1 - z_2 \mathbf{i}_2;$
- (3) $w^{\dagger_3} = (z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_2)^{\dagger_3} := \bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2 \mathbf{i}_2.$

Bicomplex Numbers

The bicomplex moduli are defined as follow:

$$|w|_{\mathbf{i}_1}^2 := w \cdot w^{\dagger_2} = z_1^2 + z_2^2 \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1),$$

$$|w|_{\mathbf{i}_2}^2 := w \cdot w^{\dagger_1} = (|z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2) + 2\operatorname{Re}(z_1\bar{z}_2)\mathbf{i}_2 \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_2),$$

$$|w|_{\mathbf{j}}^2 := w \cdot w^{\dagger_3} = (|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2) - 2\operatorname{Im}(z_1\bar{z}_2)\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

It is easy to verify that the inverse of w is given by

$$w^{-1} = \frac{w^{\dagger_2}}{|w|_{\mathbf{i}_1}^2}.$$

The set \mathcal{NC} of zero divisors of \mathbb{T} , called the *null-cone*, is given by

$$\mathcal{NC} = \{z(\mathbf{i}_1 \pm \mathbf{i}_2) \mid z \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1)\}.$$

Slide 6

Bicomplex Numbers

Let $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$, we define the *real* moduli $|\cdot|_k$, $k = 1, 2, 3$ as

1. $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{1}} := \left| |\cdot|_{\mathbf{i}_1} \right|,$

For $w = z_1 + z_2\mathbf{i}_2$ with $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1)$ we have

$$|w|_{\mathbf{1}} = |z_1^2 + z_2^2|^{1/2} = \sqrt[4]{ww^{\dagger_1}w^{\dagger_2}w^{\dagger_3}}.$$

This modulus has the following properties:

- (a) $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{1}} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R};$
- (b) $|s|_{\mathbf{1}} \geq 0$ with $|s|_{\mathbf{1}} = 0$ iff $s \in \mathcal{NC};$
- (c) $|s \cdot t|_{\mathbf{1}} = |s|_{\mathbf{1}} \cdot |t|_{\mathbf{1}}.$

Slide 7

Bicomplex Numbers

2. $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{2}} := ||\cdot|_{\mathbf{i}_2}|,$

This modulus has the same properties as $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{1}}$. In fact,

$$|w|_{\mathbf{2}} = |w|_{\mathbf{1}} = \sqrt[4]{ww^\dagger_1 w^\dagger_2 w^\dagger_3}.$$

Slide 8

3. $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{3}} := ||\cdot|_{\mathbf{j}}|,$

For $w = z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_2$ with $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1)$ we have

$$|w|_{\mathbf{3}} = |w| = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2}.$$

This modulus has the following properties:

- (a) $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{3}} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (b) $|s|_{\mathbf{3}} \geq 0$ with $|s|_{\mathbf{3}} = 0$ iff $s = 0$
 (c) $|s + t|_{\mathbf{3}} \leq |s|_{\mathbf{3}} + |t|_{\mathbf{3}}$ (d) $|s \cdot t|_{\mathbf{3}} \leq \sqrt{2} |s|_{\mathbf{3}} \cdot |t|_{\mathbf{3}}$.

\mathbb{T} -Module

The set of bicomplex number is a commutative ring. So, to define a kind of vector space over \mathbb{T} , we have to deal with the algebraic concept of module. We denote M as a free \mathbb{T} -module with the following finite \mathbb{T} -basis $\{\widehat{m}_l \mid l \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$. Hence,

$$M = \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^n x_l \widehat{m}_l \mid x_l \in \mathbb{T} \right\}.$$

Slide 9

\mathbb{T} -Module

Let us now define

Slide 10

$$V := \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^n x_l \widehat{m}_l \mid x_l \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1) \right\} \subset M.$$

The set V is a free $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1)$ -module which depends on a given \mathbb{T} -basis of M . In fact, V is a complex vector space of dimension n with the basis $\{\widehat{m}_l \mid l \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$.

Bicomplex scalar product

Let us begin with a preliminary definition.

The set

$$\mathbb{D} := \{x + y\mathbf{j} \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

Slide 11

will be called the set of hyperbolic numbers (also called duplex numbers).

Definition 1 *A hyperbolic number $w = a\mathbf{e}_1 + b\mathbf{e}_2$ will be defined positive if $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$. We denote the set of all positive hyperbolic numbers by*

$$\mathbb{D}^+ := \{a\mathbf{e}_1 + b\mathbf{e}_2 \mid a, b \geq 0\}.$$

Bicomplex scalar product

We are now able to give a definition of a bicomplex scalar product.

Definition 2 Let M be a free \mathbb{T} -module of finite dimension. With each pair \widehat{X} and \widehat{Y} in M , taken in this order, we associate a bicomplex number, which is their bicomplex scalar product $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y})$, and which satisfies the following properties:

1. $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}_1 + \widehat{Y}_2) = (\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}_1) + (\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}_2)$, $\forall \widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}_1, \widehat{Y}_2 \in M$;
2. $(\widehat{X}, \alpha \widehat{Y}) = \alpha (\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y})$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, $\forall \widehat{X}, \widehat{Y} \in M$;
3. $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}) = (\widehat{Y}, \widehat{X})^{\dagger 3}$, $\forall \widehat{X}, \widehat{Y} \in M$;
4. $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{X}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \widehat{X} = 0$, $\forall \widehat{X} \in M$.

Slide 12

Bicomplex scalar product

As a consequence of property 3, we have that $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{X}) \in \mathbb{D}$. Note that definition 2 is a general definition of a bicomplex scalar product. However, in this talk we will also suppose the bicomplex scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) to be *hyperbolic positive*, i.e.

$$(\widehat{X}, \widehat{X}) \in \mathbb{D}^+, \forall \widehat{X} \in M$$

and *closed* under the vector space V , i.e.

$$(\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}) \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1), \forall \widehat{X}, \widehat{Y} \in V.$$

Slide 13

Slide 14

Complementary Projections

Whenever $\widehat{X} = \sum_{l=1}^n x_l \widehat{m}_l \in M$, $x_l = x_{1l} \mathbf{e}_1 + x_{2l} \mathbf{e}_2$ where $x_{1l}, x_{2l} \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1)$, for all $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we define the projection $P_k : M \rightarrow V$ as

$$P_k(\widehat{X}) := \widehat{X}_{\mathbf{e}_k} = \sum_{l=1}^n (x_{kl} \widehat{m}_l)$$

for $k = 1, 2$.

Slide 15

Complementary Projections

This definition is a generalization of the mutually complementary projections $\{P_1, P_2\}$ defined on \mathbb{T} , where \mathbb{T} is considered as the canonical \mathbb{T} -module over the ring of bicomplex numbers. Moreover, $\widehat{X}_{\mathbf{e}_1}$ and $\widehat{X}_{\mathbf{e}_2}$ are uniquely determined from a given \mathbb{T} -basis and the projections P_1 and P_2 satisfies the following property:

$$P_k(w_1 \widehat{X} + w_2 \widehat{Y}) = P_k(w_1) P_k(\widehat{X}) + P_k(w_2) P_k(\widehat{Y})$$

$\forall w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{T}, \forall \widehat{X}, \widehat{Y} \in M$ and $k = 1, 2$.

Norm over V

Theorem 1 $\{V; (\cdot, \cdot)\}$ is a complex (in $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1)$) pre-Hilbert space.

Let us denote $\|\hat{X}\| := (\hat{X}, \hat{X})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \forall \hat{X} \in V$.

Slide 16

Corollary 1 Let $\hat{X} \in V$. The function $\hat{X} \mapsto \|\hat{X}\| \geq 0$ is a norm on V .

Theorem 2 Let $\hat{X} \in M$, then

$$P_k((\hat{X}, \hat{X})) = (\hat{X}, \hat{X})_{\mathbf{e}_k} = (\hat{X}_{\mathbf{e}_k}, \hat{X}_{\mathbf{e}_k}) = \|\hat{X}_{\mathbf{e}_k}\|^2$$

for $k = 1, 2$.

Norm over M

Now, let us extend this norm on M with the following function:

$$\|\hat{X}\| := \left| (\hat{X}, \hat{X})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right| = \left| \mathbf{e}_1 \|\hat{X}_{\mathbf{e}_1}\| + \mathbf{e}_2 \|\hat{X}_{\mathbf{e}_2}\| \right|, \forall \hat{X} \in M.$$

This *norm* has the following properties.

Slide 17

Theorem 3 Let $\hat{X}, \hat{Y} \in M$ and $d(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}) := \|\hat{X} - \hat{Y}\|$, then

1. $\|\hat{X}\| \geq 0$;
2. $\|\hat{X}\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow \hat{X} = 0$;
3. $\|\alpha \hat{X}\| = |\alpha| \|\hat{X}\|, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1)$ or $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_2)$;
4. $\|\alpha \hat{X}\| \leq \sqrt{2} |\alpha|_{\mathbf{3}} \|\hat{X}\|, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{T}$;
5. $\|\hat{X} + \hat{Y}\| \leq \|\hat{X}\| + \|\hat{Y}\|$;
6. $\{M, d\}$ is a *metric space*.

Slide 18

Bicomplex Hilbert space

Definition 3 Let M be a free \mathbb{T} -module with a finite \mathbb{T} -basis. Let also (\cdot, \cdot) be a bicomplex scalar product defined on M . The space $\{M, (\cdot, \cdot)\}$ is called a \mathbb{T} -inner product space.

Definition 4 A complete \mathbb{T} -inner product space is called a \mathbb{T} -Hilbert space.

Theorem 4 A \mathbb{T} -inner product space $\{M, (\cdot, \cdot)\}$ is a \mathbb{T} -Hilbert space if and only if $\{V, (\cdot, \cdot)\}$ is an Hilbert space.

Slide 19

Example of bicomplex Hilbert space

Let us consider $M = \mathbb{T}$, the canonical \mathbb{T} -module over the ring of bicomplex numbers. We consider now the trivial \mathbb{T} -basis $\{1\}$. In this case, the submodule vector space V is simply $V = \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1)$.

Consider now $\{\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1), (\cdot, \cdot)\}$ with the canonical scalar product given by

$$\begin{aligned} (z_1, z_2) &= (x_1 + y_1\mathbf{i}_1, x_2 + y_2\mathbf{i}_1) \\ &:= x_1x_2 + y_1y_2. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that $\{\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1), (\cdot, \cdot)\}$ is an Hilbert space. So, from the last Theorem, $\{\mathbb{T}, (\cdot, \cdot) := (\cdot, \cdot)\mathbf{e}_1 + (\cdot, \cdot)\mathbf{e}_2\}$ is a bicomplex Hilbert space. Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$\|w\| = \|w|_{\mathbf{j}}\| = |w|_{\mathbf{3}} = |w|,$$

i.e. the Euclidean metric of \mathbb{R}^4 .

Slide 20

The Dirac notation over M

In this section we introduce the Dirac notation usually used in quantum mechanics. For this we have to define correctly kets and bras over a bicomplex Hilbert space which, we remind, is fundamentally a module.

Let M be a free \mathbb{T} -module with the following finite \mathbb{T} -basis $\{|m_l\rangle \mid l \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$. Any element of M will be called a *ket module* or, more simply, a *ket*.

Slide 21

The Dirac notation over M

Definition 5 A linear functional χ is a linear operation which associates a bicomplex number with every ket $|\psi\rangle$:

- 1) $|\psi\rangle \longrightarrow \chi(|\psi\rangle) \in \mathbb{T}$;
- 2) $\chi(\lambda_1|\psi_1\rangle + \lambda_2|\psi_2\rangle) = \lambda_1\chi(|\psi_1\rangle) + \lambda_2\chi(|\psi_2\rangle)$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{T}$.

It can be shown that the set of linear functionals defined on the kets $|\psi\rangle \in M$ constitutes a \mathbb{T} -module space, which is called the dual space of M and which will be symbolized by M^ .*

The Dirac notation over M

Using this definition of M^* , let us define the bra notation.

Definition 6 Any element of the space M^* is called a bra module or, more simply, a bra. It is symbolized by $\langle \cdot |$.

For example, the bra $\langle \chi |$ designates the bicomplex linear functional χ and we shall henceforth use the notation $\langle \chi | \psi \rangle$ to denote the number obtained by causing the linear functional $\langle \chi | \in M^*$ to act on the ket $|\psi\rangle \in M$:

$$\chi(|\psi\rangle) := \langle \chi | \psi \rangle.$$

Slide 22

The Dirac notation over M

The existence of a bicomplex scalar product in M will now enable us to show that we can associate, with every ket $|\phi\rangle \in M$, an element of M^* , which will be denoted by $\langle \phi |$.

The ket $|\phi\rangle$ does indeed enable us to define a linear functional: the one which associates (in a linear way), with each ket $|\psi\rangle \in M$, a bicomplex number which is equal to the scalar product $(|\phi\rangle, |\psi\rangle)$ of $|\psi\rangle$ by $|\phi\rangle$. Let $\langle \phi |$ be this linear functional; It is thus defined by the relation:

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle = (|\phi\rangle, |\psi\rangle).$$

Slide 23

Slide 24

The Dirac notation over M

Therefore, the properties of the bicomplex scalar product can be rewritten as:

1. $\langle \phi | (|\psi_1\rangle + |\psi_2\rangle) = \langle \phi | \psi_1\rangle + \langle \phi | \psi_2\rangle$;
2. $\langle \phi | \alpha \psi\rangle = \alpha \langle \phi | \psi\rangle, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{T}$;
3. $\langle \phi | \psi\rangle = \langle \psi | \phi\rangle^{\dagger 3}$;
4. $\langle \phi | \phi\rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow |\phi\rangle = 0$.

Slide 25

The Dirac notation over M

Now, let define the corresponding projections for the Dirac notation as follows.

Definition 7 Let $|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle \in M$ and $|\chi\rangle \in V$. For $k = 1, 2$, we define:

1. $|\psi_{\mathbf{e}_k}\rangle := P_k(|\psi\rangle) \in V$;
2. $\langle \phi_{\mathbf{e}_k} | := P_k(\langle \phi |) : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1)$, where $|\chi\rangle \mapsto P_k(\langle \phi | \chi\rangle)$.

The first definition gives the projection $|\psi_{\mathbf{e}_k}\rangle$ of the ket $|\psi\rangle$ of M . The second definition is more subtle. In the next two theorems, we show that the functional $\langle \phi_{\mathbf{e}_k} |$ is really the bra associated with the ket $|\phi_{\mathbf{e}_k}\rangle$ in V .

The Dirac notation over M

Theorem 5 Let $|\phi\rangle \in M$, then

$$\langle \phi_{\mathbf{e}_k} | \in V^*$$

for $k = 1, 2$.

Theorem 6 Let $|\phi\rangle \in M$ and $|\psi\rangle \in V$, then

$$\langle \phi_{\mathbf{e}_k} | (|\psi\rangle) = \langle \phi_{\mathbf{e}_k} | \psi \rangle$$

for $k = 1, 2$.

Slide 26

Bicomplex linear operators

The *bicomplex linear operators* $A : M \rightarrow M$ are defined by

$$|\psi'\rangle = A|\psi\rangle,$$

$$A(\lambda_1|\psi_1\rangle + \lambda_2|\psi_2\rangle) = \lambda_1 A|\psi_1\rangle + \lambda_2 A|\psi_2\rangle,$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{T}$. For a fixed $|\phi\rangle \in M$, a fixed linear operator A and an arbitrary $|\psi\rangle \in M$, we define the bra $\langle \phi|A$ by the relation

$$(\langle \phi|A)|\psi\rangle := \langle \phi|(A|\psi\rangle).$$

Slide 27

Bicomplex linear operators

Slide 28

For a given linear operator $A : M \rightarrow M$, the *bicomplex adjoint operator* A^* is the operator with the following correspondance

$$|\psi'\rangle = A|\psi\rangle \iff \langle\psi'| = \langle\psi|A^*.$$

Bicomplex linear operators

Slide 29

It is easy to show that for any bicomplex linear operator $A : M \rightarrow M$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$, we have the following standard properties:

$$\begin{aligned} (A^*)^* &= A, \\ (\lambda A)^* &= \lambda^{\dagger_3} A^*, \\ (A + B)^* &= A^* + B^*, \\ (AB)^* &= B^* A^*. \end{aligned}$$

Slide 30

Bicomplex linear operators

Definition 8 Let M be a bicomplex Hilbert space and $A : M \rightarrow M$ a bicomplex linear operator. We define the projection $P_k(A) : M \rightarrow V$ of A , for $k = 1, 2$, as follows :

$$P_k(A)|\psi\rangle := P_k(A|\psi\rangle), \quad \forall |\psi\rangle \in M.$$

Slide 31

Bicomplex linear operators

We have the following specific results.

Theorem 7 Let M be a bicomplex Hilbert space, $A : M \rightarrow M$ a bicomplex linear operator and $|\psi\rangle = \mathbf{e}_1|\psi_{\mathbf{e}_1}\rangle + \mathbf{e}_2|\psi_{\mathbf{e}_2}\rangle \in M$. Then

- (i) $A|\psi\rangle = \mathbf{e}_1 P_1(A)|\psi_{\mathbf{e}_1}\rangle + \mathbf{e}_2 P_2(A)|\psi_{\mathbf{e}_2}\rangle$;
- (ii) $P_k(A)^* = P_k(A^*)$ where $P_k(A)^*$ is the standard complex adjoint operator over $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1)$ associated with the bicomplex linear operator $P_k(A)$ restricted to the submodule vector space V for $k = 1, 2$.

Bicomplex self-adjoint operators**Slide 32**

In standard quantum mechanics self-adjoint operators (Hermitian operators) play a very important role. In analogy with the standard case, a linear operator A is defined to be a *bicomplex self-adjoint operator* if and only if $A = A^*$.

Theorem 8 *Let $A : M \rightarrow M$ be a bicomplex self-adjoint operator and $|\psi\rangle \in M$ be an eigenvector of the equation $A|\psi\rangle = \lambda|\psi\rangle$, with $|\psi\rangle \notin \mathcal{NC}$. Then the eigenvalues of A are in the set of hyperbolic numbers.*