

Slide 0

On a Bicomplex Distance Estimation for the Tetrabrot

Étienne Martineau

(Joint work with Dominic Rochon^a)

UNIV. DU QUÉBEC À TROIS-RIVIÈRES

June, 2004

^aResearch supported by CRSNG (Canada).

Slide 1

Outline

- Bicomplex Numbers
- Bicomplex Dynamics
 - Bicomplex Mandelbrot Set and Filled-Julia Sets
 - Definiton of the **Tetrabrot**
- Bicomplex Distance Estimation for the Tetrabrot
 - Distance Formulas
 - Approximated Distance Formulas
- Applications
 - Ray-Tracing
 - Exploration

Bicomplex Numbers

We define **bicomplex numbers** as follows:

$$\mathbb{T} := \{a + b\mathbf{i}_1 + c\mathbf{i}_2 + d\mathbf{j} : \mathbf{i}_1^2 = \mathbf{i}_2^2 = -1, \mathbf{j}^2 = 1\}.$$

Slide 2

Where

$$\mathbf{i}_2\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}\mathbf{i}_2 = -\mathbf{i}_1$$

$$\mathbf{i}_1\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}\mathbf{i}_1 = -\mathbf{i}_2$$

$$\mathbf{i}_2\mathbf{i}_1 = \mathbf{i}_1\mathbf{i}_2 = \mathbf{j}$$

and $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$.

Bicomplex Numbers

We remark that we can write a bicomplex number

$$a + b\mathbf{i}_1 + c\mathbf{i}_2 + d\mathbf{j}$$

as

$$(a + b\mathbf{i}_1) + (c + d\mathbf{i}_1)\mathbf{i}_2 = z_1 + z_2\mathbf{i}_2$$

where

$$z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1) := \{x + y\mathbf{i}_1 : \mathbf{i}_1^2 = -1\}.$$

Slide 3

Bicomplex Numbers

Slide 4

The norm used on \mathbb{T} is the Euclidean norm (noted $|\cdot|$) of \mathbb{R}^4 and the following formula is true over the set of bicomplex numbers:

$$|z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_2| = \left(\frac{|z_1 - z_2 \mathbf{i}_1|^2 + |z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_1|^2}{2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Bicomplex Numbers

Slide 5

It is also important to know that every bicomplex number $z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_2$ has the following unique idempotent representation:

$$z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_2 = (z_1 - z_2 \mathbf{i}_1) \mathbf{e}_1 + (z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_1) \mathbf{e}_2$$

where $\mathbf{e}_1 = \frac{1+\mathbf{j}}{2}$ and $\mathbf{e}_2 = \frac{1-\mathbf{j}}{2}$. This representation is very useful because: addition, multiplication and division can be done term-by-term.

Slide 6

Bicomplex Numbers

Now, as a consequence of the idempotent representation, we are able to define a bicomplex cartesian product:

Definition 1 We say that $X \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ is a \mathbb{T} -cartesian set determined by X_1 and X_2 if $X = X_1 \times_e X_2 := \{z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_2 \in \mathbb{T} : z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_2 = w_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + w_2 \mathbf{e}_2, (w_1, w_2) \in X_1 \times X_2\}$.

Slide 7

Bicomplex Numbers

The set

$$\mathbb{D} := \{x + y\mathbf{j} | x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

will be called the set of hyperbolic numbers (also called duplex numbers) and

$$|w|_{\mathbf{j}} := |z_1 - z_2 \mathbf{i}_1| \mathbf{e}_1 + |z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_1| \mathbf{e}_2 \in \mathbb{D}$$

will be referred to as the *modulus in \mathbf{j}* of $w = z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_2$

Bicomplex Numbers

This specific modulus satisfies the following properties:

Slide 8

- (1) $||w|_j| = |w| = \sqrt{\text{Re}(|w|_j^2)}$;
- (2) $|w|_j = 0$ if and only if $w = 0$;
- (3) $|w_1 \cdot w_2|_j = |w_1|_j |w_2|_j \quad \forall w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{T}$.

Generalized Mandelbrot Set

Now, let us define a version of the Mandelbrot set for the bicomplex numbers:

Slide 9

Definition 2 Let $P_c(w) = w^2 + c$ where $w, c \in \mathbb{T}$ and $P_c^{\circ n}(w) := (P_c^{\circ(n-1)} \circ P_c)(w)$. Then the generalized Mandelbrot set for bicomplex numbers is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}_2 = \{c \in \mathbb{T} : P_c^{\circ n}(0) \not\rightarrow \infty\}.$$

Slide 10

Generalized Filled-Julia Sets

It is also possible to generalize the notion of filled-Julia set for the bicomplex numbers:

Definition 3 *The generalized filled-Julia set for bicomplex numbers is defined as follows: ($c \in \mathbb{T}$)*

$$\mathcal{K}_{2,c} = \{w \in \mathbb{T} : P_c^{\circ n}(w) \not\rightarrow \infty\}.$$

Slide 11

The Tetrabrot

Because of its rich fractal structure and its symmetry, we emphasize our work on the generalized Mandelbrot set for bicomplex numbers in dimension three:

Definition 4 *The “Tetrabrot” is defined as follows:*

$$\mathcal{T} = \{a + bi_1 + ci_2 + dj \in \mathbb{T} : d = 0 \text{ and } P_c^{\circ n}(0) \not\rightarrow \infty\}.$$

Distance Estimation for the Tetrabrot

Let us begin with the following well known result about the distance estimation for the filled-Julia sets in the complex plane.

Slide 12

Theorem 1 Let $d(z, \mathcal{K}_b) = \inf\{|z - a| : a \in \mathcal{K}_b\}$ be defined as the distance from $z \in \mathbb{C}$ to the filled-Julia set \mathcal{K}_b with $b \in \mathcal{M}$. Then the distance $d(z_0, \mathcal{K}_b)$ between z_0 lying outside of \mathcal{K}_b and \mathcal{K}_b itself satisfies

$$\frac{\sinh[G(z_0)]}{2e^{G(z_0)}|G'(z_0)|} < d(z_0, \mathcal{K}_b) < \frac{2 \sinh[G(z_0)]}{|G'(z_0)|}$$

where $G(z_0)$ is the potential at the point z_0 .

Distance Estimation for the Tetrabrot

We will express the distance from a point $w \in \mathbb{T}$ to a bicomplex filled-Julia set in terms of two distances in the complex plane (in \mathbf{i}_1).

Slide 13

Lemma 1 Let $d(w, \mathcal{K}_{2,c}) = \inf\{|w - a| : a \in \mathcal{K}_{2,c}\}$ be defined as the “bicomplex” distance from $w = z_1 + z_2\mathbf{i}_2 \in \mathbb{T}$ to the bicomplex filled-Julia set $\mathcal{K}_{2,c}$ where $c = c_1 + c_2\mathbf{i}_2 \in \mathbb{T}$. Hence, $d(w, \mathcal{K}_{2,c}) =$

$$\left[\frac{[d(z_1 - z_2\mathbf{i}_1, \mathcal{K}_{c_1 - c_2\mathbf{i}_1})]^2 + [d(z_1 + z_2\mathbf{i}_1, \mathcal{K}_{c_1 + c_2\mathbf{i}_1})]^2}{2} \right]^{1/2}.$$

Slide 14

Distance Estimation for the Tetrabrot

Definition 5 Let $G_1(z_1 - z_2\mathbf{i}_1)$ and $G_2(z_1 + z_2\mathbf{i}_1)$ be two electrostatic potentials. The bicomplex potential, at a point $w = z_1 + z_2\mathbf{i}_2 \in (\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1) \setminus \mathcal{K}_{b_1}) \times_e (\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1) \setminus \mathcal{K}_{b_2})$, is defined as

$$G(w) := G_1(z_1 - z_2\mathbf{i}_1)\mathbf{e}_1 + G_2(z_1 + z_2\mathbf{i}_1)\mathbf{e}_2 \in \mathbb{D}$$

and

$$G'(w) := G'_1(z_1 - z_2\mathbf{i}_1)\mathbf{e}_1 + G'_2(z_1 + z_2\mathbf{i}_1)\mathbf{e}_2 \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Slide 15

In \mathbb{T} , the bicomplex logarithm $\ln(z_1 + z_2\mathbf{i}_2)$ is defined to be the inverse of the bicomplex exponential function $e^{z_1+z_2\mathbf{i}_2} := e^{z_1}[\cos(z_2) + \mathbf{i}_2 \sin(z_2)]$. With this definition of the bicomplex logarithm, it is possible to express the bicomplex potential in a similar way to that used for one complex variable. Let $\mathbb{T} \setminus_e \mathcal{K}_{2,c} := (\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1) \setminus \mathcal{K}_{c_1-c_2\mathbf{i}_1}) \times_e (\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1) \setminus \mathcal{K}_{c_1+c_2\mathbf{i}_1})$.

Theorem 2 Let $G : \mathbb{T} \setminus_e \mathcal{K}_{2,c} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a bicomplex potential and $c = (c_1 - c_2\mathbf{i}_1)\mathbf{e}_1 + (c_1 + c_2\mathbf{i}_1)\mathbf{e}_2$. Then,

$$G(w) = \ln |\phi_c(w)|_j \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{T}$$

where $\phi_c : \mathbb{T} \setminus_e \mathcal{K}_{2,c} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \setminus_e \overline{B^1(0,1)} \times_e \overline{B^1(0,1)}$ is bi-holomorphic in terms of two complex variables.

Distance Formulas

We are now ready to state the major result of this talk.

Theorem 3 *Let $w_0 = z_1 + z_2\mathbf{i}_2 \in \mathbb{T}$ and $c_1 + c_2\mathbf{i}_2 \in \mathcal{M}_2$. Then, the distance $d(w_0, \mathcal{K}_{2,c})$ between w_0 lying outside of $\mathcal{K}_{2,c}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{2,c}$ itself satisfies:*

Slide 16

(1) If $w_0 \in \mathbb{T} \setminus_e \mathcal{K}_{2,c}$,

$$\left| \frac{\sinh[G(w_0)]}{2e^{G(w_0)}G'(w_0)} \right| < d(w_0, \mathcal{K}_{2,c}) < \left| \frac{2 \sinh[G(w_0)]}{G'(w_0)} \right|$$

where $G(w_0)$ is the bicomplex potential at the point w_0 .

(2) If $w_0 \in (\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1) \setminus \mathcal{K}_{c_1-c_2\mathbf{i}_1}) \times_e (\mathcal{K}_{c_1+c_2\mathbf{i}_1})$,

$$d(w_0, \mathcal{K}_{2,c}) > \frac{\sinh[G_1(z_1 - z_2\mathbf{i}_1)]}{2\sqrt{2}e^{G_1(z_1 - z_2\mathbf{i}_1)}|G'_1(z_1 - z_2\mathbf{i}_1)|}$$

Slide 17

and

$$d(w_0, \mathcal{K}_{2,c}) < \frac{\sqrt{2} \sinh[G_1(z_1 - z_2\mathbf{i}_1)]}{|G'_1(z_1 - z_2\mathbf{i}_1)|}$$

(3) If $w_0 \in (\mathcal{K}_{c_1-c_2\mathbf{i}_1}) \times_e (\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1) \setminus \mathcal{K}_{c_1+c_2\mathbf{i}_1})$.

-Similar to (2)-

Approximated Distance Formulas

Theorem 4 Let $w_0 = z_1 + z_2 \mathbf{i}_2 \in \mathbb{T}$ and $c_1 + c_2 \mathbf{i}_2 \in \mathcal{M}_2$. Then, the distance $d(w_0, \mathcal{K}_{2,c})$ between w_0 lying outside of $\mathcal{K}_{2,c}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{2,c}$ itself approximately satisfies:

Slide 18

(1) If $w_0 \in \mathbb{T} \setminus_e \mathcal{K}_{2,c}$,

$$\left| \frac{w_n \ln |w_n|_{\mathbf{j}}}{2|w|_{\mathbf{j}}^{\frac{1}{2^n}} w'_n} \right| < d(w_0, \mathcal{K}_{2,c}) < \left| 2 \frac{w_n}{w'_n} \ln |w_n|_{\mathbf{j}} \right|$$

where $w_n := P_c^{\circ n}(w_0)$

and $w'_n := \frac{d}{dw}[P_c^{\circ n}(w)]|_{w=w_0} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Approximated Distance Formulas

(2) If $w_0 \in (\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1) \setminus \mathcal{K}_{c_1 - c_2 \mathbf{i}_1}) \times_e (\mathcal{K}_{c_1 + c_2 \mathbf{i}_1})$,

Slide 19

$$d(w_0, \mathcal{K}_{2,c}) > \frac{|z_{1,n} - z_{2,n} \mathbf{i}_1| \ln |z_{1,n} - z_{2,n} \mathbf{i}_1|}{2\sqrt{2}|z_{1,n} - z_{2,n} \mathbf{i}_1|^{\frac{1}{2^n}} |(z_{1,n} - z_{2,n} \mathbf{i}_1)'|}$$

$$d(w_0, \mathcal{K}_{2,c}) < \frac{\sqrt{2}|z_{1,n} - z_{2,n} \mathbf{i}_1|}{|(z_{1,n} - z_{2,n} \mathbf{i}_1)'_n|} \ln |z_{1,n} - z_{2,n} \mathbf{i}_1|$$

where $z_{1,n} - z_{2,n} \mathbf{i}_1 := P_c^{\circ n}(z_1 - z_2 \mathbf{i}_1)$

and $(z_{1,n} - z_{2,n} \mathbf{i}_1)' := \frac{d}{dz}[P_c^{\circ n}(z)]|_{z=z_1 - z_2 \mathbf{i}_1}$.

Slide 20

Approximated Distance Formulas

(3) If $w_0 \in (\mathcal{K}_{c_1 - c_2 \mathbf{i}_1}) \times_e (\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i}_1) \setminus \mathcal{K}_{c_1 + c_2 \mathbf{i}_1})$.

-Similar to (2)-

Slide 21

Ray-Tracing

Now we use the lower bound distance estimation formula D_l in conjunction with ray-tracing to produce images of bicomplex fractals.

Let \vec{v} be an unitary vector in \mathbb{R}^4 and μ a point in $\mathbb{T} \setminus K_{2,c}$.
Now define

$$\{Z_{\mu, \vec{v}, n}\} := \begin{cases} Z_{\mu, \vec{v}, 0} = \mu \\ Z_{\mu, \vec{v}, n} = Z_{\mu, \vec{v}, n-1} + D_l(Z_{\mu, \vec{v}, n-1})\vec{v} \end{cases}$$

By definition, no point in $K_{2,c}$ can be a member of such sequence.

Ray-Tracing

Slide 22

If we set the projection eye to μ and use \vec{v} as the orientation of our ray, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_{\mu, \vec{v}, n}$$

is our ray-tracing algorithm.

Ray-Tracing

Slide 23

Two things may happen, we may miss the fractal or we may converge i.e.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_l(Z_{\mu, \vec{v}, n}) = \infty$$

OR

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_l(Z_{\mu, \vec{v}, n}) < \infty \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_l(Z_{\mu, \vec{v}, n}) = 0.$$

Slide 24**Exploration**

The images of the fractals will be drawn on a screen, noted S that is defined by four coplanar points in space. These points are our screen corner. We divide S into pixel according to the resolution desired for our image. The position of the eye μ , will be function of the position and size of S . When we move S , μ will follow. We compute the first image of the object and while tracing the fractal, we keep stored the distance of the object.

Slide 25**Exploration**

To zoom into the region of interest, few steps are necessary. First we must recenter the selected region by rotating from μ , then resize the screen to the region of interest. Next, using a fraction of distance to the object, we move S forward. A typical implementation could use the ratio screen size region of interest of the fractal distance. As we get closer to the fractal, we should lower the ϵ value to keep a good level of fractal details.

More details(images, movies and softwares) available on

3dfractals.com

Etienne Martineau

Département de mathématiques et d'informatique

Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières

C.P. 500 Trois-Rivières, Québec

Canada, G9A 5H7

E-mail: etienne.martineau@gmail.com

Slide 26